(triisobutylphosphate)<sub>2</sub> which consistently show longer M—O distances with the acyl O atom, with average differences of 0.04 (1) and 0.13 (4) Å, respectively (Ryan & Jarvinen, 1987; Karaseva, Karasev, Udovenko & Sigula, 1983). The Th atom is 0.12 Å above the O—C—C—O plane of the BMPP anion, corresponding to a dihedral angle about the O(4)—O(5) vector of 3°. The largest deviations from the O—C—C—O plane are C(1) 0.027 and C(8) -0.028 Å. The acyl group is bent slightly (7°) out of the plane of the pyrazolone ring. The dihedral angle between the two O—C—C—O planes is 98.8°.

The Th atom is 0.11 Å out of the plane of the nitrate ligand. The Th—O distances of the nitrate anions [Th—O(1) 2.55 (1), Th—O(3) 2.68 (1) Å] are similar to the Th—O distances reported for a variety of thorium complexes containing nitrate ligands reviewed by Casellato, Vigato & Vidali (1981).

The Th—O distance of the phosphoryl O atom [Th-O(6) 2.36 Å] is significantly shorter than the Th-O distances of either anionic ligand. The Th—O(6) distance can be compared with those observed in Th(TTA)<sub>4</sub>(TOPO) (2.30 Å; Leipoldt, Wessels & Bok, 1975),  $[Th(NO_3)_3 \{OP(NMe_2)_3\}_4]_2$ -[Th(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>] (average value 2.34 Å; English, du Preez, Nassimbeni & van Vuuren, 1979), [Th(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>- $(OPMe_3)_2$ [PPh<sub>4</sub>] and  $[Th(NO_3)_3(OPMe_3)_4]_2[Th (NO_3)_6$ ] (average values 2.34 and 2.38 Å, respectively; Alcock, Esperas, Bagnall & Hsian-Yun, 1978) [TTA = 1,1,1-trifluoro-3-(2-thenoyl)acetonato. TOPO =tri-n-octylphosphine oxide]. The average Th-tophosphoryl O distances in these structures are also substantially shorter than the Th-O distances of the anionic ligands, a reflection of the strong Lewis basicity of the phosphoryl donors.

This work was carried out under the auspices of the US Department of Energy. The authors are grateful for the assistance of Dr J. H. Hall in carrying out some of the calculations.

## References

- ALCOCK, N. W., ESPERAS, S., BAGNALL, K. W. & HSIAN-YUN, W. (1978). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 638-646.
- CASELLATO, U., VIGATO, P. A. & VIDALI, M. (1981). Coord. Chem. Rev. 36, 183-265.
- CHMUTOVA, M. K., PRIBYLOVA, G. A. & MYASOEDOV, B. F. (1974). Radiokhimiya, 17, 220–226; Sov. Radiochem. 17, 224–226.
- CROMER, D. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, edited by J. A. IBERS & W. C. HAMILTON, Table 2.3.1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, edited by J. A. IBERS & W. C. HAMILTON, Table 2.2A. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- ENGLISH, R. P., DU PREEZ, J. G. H., NASSIMBENI, L. R. & VAN VUUREN, C. P. J. (1979). S. Afr. J. Chem. 32, 119–125.
- KARASEVA, E. T., KARASEV, V. E., UDOVENKO, A. A. & SIGULA, N. I. (1983). Koord. Khim. 9, 692–698; Sov. J. Coord. Chem. 9, 394–400.
- LARSON, A. C. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 664-665.
- LEIPOLDT, J. G., WESSELS, G. F. S. & BOK, L. D. C. (1975). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 37, 2487-2490.
- RAMAKRISHNA, V. V. & PATIL, S. K. (1984). Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 56, 35–90.
- RYAN, R. R. & JARVINEN, G. D. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 1295-1298.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

Acta Cryst. (1991). C47, 264-267

## Bis[diethyl(glycylhistidinato)tin]–Methanol (1/1)

BY HANS PREUT, MICHAEL VORNEFELD AND FRIEDO HUBER

Universität Dortmund, Fachbereich Chemie, Postfach 500 500, D-4600 Dortmund 50, Germany

(Received 8 May 1990; accepted 6 July 1990)

Abstract.  $C_{25}H_{44}N_8O_7Sn_2$ ,  $M_r = 806.05$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1$ , a = 8.711 (4), b = 13.009 (5), c = 15.020 (6) Å,  $\beta$  = 102.91 (3)°, V = 1659 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_x =$  1.61 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 812,  $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 0.71073 Å,  $\mu = 0.82$  mm<sup>-1</sup>, T = 291 (1) K. Final R = 0.035 for 5441 unique observed reflexions  $[F \ge 3.0\sigma(F)]$ . The title compound contains two different Et<sub>2</sub>SnGlyHis molecules and one MeOH molecule in the asymmetric unit. In one Et<sub>2</sub>SnGlyHis molecule the polyhedron around the Sn atom is a distorted trigonal bipyramid with two  $\alpha C(Et)$  atoms and N<sub>peptide</sub> in

the equatorial plane and  $N_{amino}$  and  $O_{carboxylate}$  in the apical positions. In the other molecule the Sn atom attains hexacoordination with  $N_{amino}$ ,  $O_{carboxylate}$  and the two  $\alpha C(Et)$  atoms in the equatorial plane, and  $N_{peptide}$  and  $N_{imide}$  in the apical positions. The two molecules are linked by a hydrogen bond between the amino group of the pentacoordinated unit and  $O(=C)_{carboxylate}$  of the hexacoordinated unit.

**Introduction.** A series of diorganotin derivatives of dipeptides have been found to exhibit anti-leukaemic

0108-2701/91/020264-04\$03.00

© 1991 International Union of Crystallography

activity (Huber & Barbieri, 1986). In the context of structure-activity relationships X-ray structure determinations of such compounds have been undertaken. These revealed in each case an essentially identical trigonal bipyramidal polyhedron around the central tin atom (Huber, Haupt, Preut, Barbieri & Lo Giudice, 1977; Preut, Mundus, Huber & Barbieri, 1986, 1989). However, from Mössbauer and vibrational spectra, solid-state structures with hexacoordinated tin were inferred (Huber, Mundus, Barbieri & Ruisi, 1986) but no single crystals had been obtained to verify this structure. We are now successful with respect to diethyltin glycylhistidinate, which in the solid state contains two different molecules and in one of these tin is hexacoordinated.

Experimental. Et<sub>2</sub>SnGlyHis has been prepared by reacting equimolar amounts of Et<sub>2</sub>SnO and glycylhistidine for 4 h in refluxing anhydrous methanol. After evaporating the solvent in vacuo anhydrous *n*-pentane was added to the residue. Single crystals were obtained after prolonged standing at room temperature. Crystal size  $\sim 0.22 \times 0.30 \times 0.32$  mm.  $\omega/2\theta$  scan, scan speed 1.5-14.6° min<sup>-1</sup> in  $\theta$ , Nicolet diffractometer, graphite-monochromated R3m/VMo  $K\alpha$ ; lattice parameters from least-squares fit with 34 reflexions up to  $2\theta = 29 \cdot 1^\circ$ ; six standard reflexions recorded every 2.5 h, only random deviations; 6449 reflexions measured in range  $1.5 \le \theta \le 25.0^\circ$ , max.  $(\sin\theta)/\lambda = 0.595 \text{ Å}^{-1}, \quad 0 \le h \le 11, \quad -16 \le k \le 16, \\ -18 \le l \le 18, \text{ after averaging } (R_{\text{int}} = 0.021), \quad 5883$ unique reflexions, 5441 with  $F \ge 3.0\sigma(F)$ , Lorentzpolarization correction and absorption correction via  $\psi$  scans, max./min. transmission 0.95/0.80; systematic absences (h0l) none, (0k0) k = 2n + 1 conform to space group  $P2_1$ ; structure solution with direct methods,  $\Delta F$  syntheses and full-matrix least-squares refinement with anisotropic temperature factors for all non-H atoms and three common isotropic temperature factors for H atoms, which were placed in geometrically calculated positions (C-H 1.08 Å), refinement on F with 5441 reflexions and 382 refined parameters;  $w = 2.27/[\sigma^2(F) + (0.001 F^2)], S = 0.97,$ R = 0.035, wR = 0.036,  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.02$ , no extinction correction;  $\eta$ -refinement (Rogers, 1981) gave a value of 0.98 (6) confirming the proposed chirality, largest peak in final  $\Delta F$  map  $\pm 1.0$  (4) e Å<sup>-3</sup>. Atomic scattering factors for neutral atoms and real and imaginary dispersion terms from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974); programs: PARST (Nardelli, 1983), SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976), SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1987), PCK83 (Williams, 1984).

**Discussion.** The asymmetric unit and the numbering of the atoms are shown in Fig. 1 and a stereoscopic view of the unit cell in Fig. 2. Atomic parameters and the equivalent values of the anisotropic temperature factors for the non-H atoms are listed in Table 1,\* bond lengths and angles as well as some leastsquares planes and dihedral angles in Table 2. The asymmetric unit contains two Et<sub>2</sub>SnGlyHis molecules and one solvent molecule MeOH. In molecule (1), the atoms bound to Sn(1) form a distorted trigonal bipyramid with O(11) and N(12) (= N<sub>amino</sub>) in the axial position and N(11) (= N<sub>peptide</sub>), C(16) and C(18) (= two C<sub>ethyl</sub>) in the equatorial plane. The axial angle O(11)—Sn(1)—N(12) of 151·9 (2)° deviates appreciably from linearity. In contrast to diphenyltin glycylglycinate (Huber, Haupt, Preut, Barbieri & Lo Giudice, 1977) the tridentate anion deviates from planarity, probably due to the accommodation of the large substituent at C(12). The Sn—C(Et) distances [mean: 2·131 (8) Å] are nearly

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53427 (36 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 1. General view (SHELXTL-Plus graphic) of the asymmetric unit showing the atom-numbering scheme.



Fig. 2. Stereoscopic view (SHELXTL-Plus graphic) of the unit cell.

squares planes and dihedral angles (°)

*i* = 1

*i* = 2

$U_{\rm eq} = (U_{11} + U_{22} + U_{33})/3.$				
	x	у	z	$U_{m}$
Sn(1)	1.03118 (5)	0.0	1.06570 (2)	35
O(11)	0.9387 (5)	0.1388 (3)	0.9914 (3)	44
O(12)	0.7267 (6)	0.2210 (3)	0.9182(3)	52
O(13)	0.5849 (6)	-0.1386 (3)	1.0105 (3)	52
N(11)	0.7861 (6)	-0.0224 (3)	1.0317 (3)	30
N(12)	1.0004 (6)	-0.1599 (4)	1.1199 (3)	42
N(13)	0.6089 (7)	0.2563 (4)	1.1314 (3)	41
N(14)	0.7422 (7)	0.1996 (4)	1.2648 (3)	42
C(11)	0.7892 (8)	0.1453 (4)	0.9622 (4)	36
C(12)	0.6871 (7)	0.0583 (5)	0.9823 (4)	34
C(13)	0.7263 (8)	-0·1140 (4)	1.0402 (4)	37
C(14)	0.8349 (9)	−0·1944 (5)	1.0898 (5)	48
C(15)	0.5610 (7)	0.0994 (5)	1.0327 (4)	35
C(16)	1.130 (1)	0.0784 (6)	1.1899 (5)	56
C(17)	1.250 (1)	0.1568 (9)	1.1831 (6)	79
C(18)	1.1435 (9)	-0.0479 (6)	0.9604 (5)	55
C(19)	1.032 (1)	-0.1124 (6)	0-8915 (5)	62
C(111)	0.6300 (6)	0.1512 (4)	1.1203 (4)	32
C(112)	0.7123 (8)	0.1192 (5)	1.2034 (4)	40
C(113)	0.6788 (8)	0.2800 (5)	1.2191 (4)	45
O(1)	0.477 (1)	0.2574 (5)	0.7789 (5)	92
<b>C</b> (1)	0.475 (2)	0.3233 (8)	0.7518 (9)	122
Sn(2)	-0·14218 (4)	0.16812 (4)	0.45148 (2)	30
O(21)	-0.0012 (6)	0.0408 (3)	0.5305 (3)	44
O(22)	0.1108 (5)	-0.0202 (3)	0.6678 (3)	48
O(23)	-0·1035 (8)	0.3426 (4)	0.6919 (3)	65
N(21)	-0·1132 (6)	0.2091 (3)	0.5917 (3)	29
N(22)	-0.2471 (6)	0.3280 (4)	0.4465 (3)	32
N(23)	-0.2868 (9)	-0.0525 (5)	0.6688 (5)	66
N(24)	−0·5110 (9)	0.0115 (7)	0.6566 (6)	88
C(21)	0.0332 (7)	0.0460 (4)	0.6169 (4)	33
C(22)	-0.0230 (7)	0.1408 (4)	0.6620 (4)	30
C(23)	-0.1394 (7)	0.3040 (4)	0.6144 (4)	35
C(24)	-0.2321 (9)	0.3707 (5)	0.5384 (4)	43
C(25)	-0.1129 (7)	0.1069 (5)	0.7339 (4)	37
C(20)	-0.3423 (9)	0.0696 (6)	0.4267 (5)	50
C(27)	- 0.493 (1)	0.1235 (9)	0.4214 (9)	95
C(20)	0.1926 (0)	0.2160 (6)	0.4148 (4)	46
C(23)	0.2696 (9)	0.2648 (/)	0.4950 (6)	63
C(211)	-0.4076 (8)	0.0221 (4)	0.6989 (4)	36
C(212)	-0.40/6 (/)	0.0881 (4)	0.6909 (5)	42
U(213)	-0.4442 (/)	-0·0/08 (4)	0.6418 (5)	40

the same as in Et<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub> [mean: 2.150 (14) Å; Alcock & Sawyer (1977)]. In comparison to diphenyltin glycylglycinate or dicyclohexyltin glycylalaninate (Huber, Haupt, Preut, Barbieri & Lo Giudice, 1977; Huber, Vornefeld, Preut & von Angerer, 1990) the C-Sn-C angle is expanded to 128°; this is interesting because it is a similar value to that found in diethyltin glycyltyrosinate, 131.4 (2)° (Vornefeld, Huber, Preut & Barbieri, 1990).

In molecule (2), in contrast to molecule (1) and all other structurally characterized diorganotin derivatives of dipeptides, tin has an octahedral surrounding which is rather distorted. O(21) and N(22) (=  $N_{amino}$ ) are axially arranged; the equatorial positions are occupied by C(26), C(28), N(21) (=  $N_{peptide}$ ), and by N(14) of the histidinyl group of molecule (1). The distance Sn(2)-N(14) of 2.790 (5) Å is rather long, but appreciably shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of Sn and N (3.62 Å; Bondi, 1964). The inference of bonding interaction between Sn(2) and N(14), which implicates linking of molecules (1) and (2) to form a dimer, is also justified by considering the values of the angles O(21)-Sn(2)-N(22) (axial) of 150.0 (2) and C(26)—Sn(2)—C(28) (equatorial) of

$\begin{array}{c} O(i1) - Sn(i) \\ N(i1) - Sn(i) \\ N(i2) - Sn(i) \\ C(i8) - Sn(i) \\ C(i6) - Sn(i) \\ C(i1) - O(i1) \\ C(i1) - O(i2) \\ C(i1) - O(i2) \\ C(i2) - C(i2) \\ C(i2) - N(i1) \\ C(i2) - C(i5) \\ C(i3) - O(i3) \\ C(i3) - O(i3) \\ C(i3) - N(i1) \\ C(i4) - N(i2) \\ C(i11) - N(i3) \\ C(i11) - C(i5) \\ C(i11) - C(i5) \\ C(i11) - C(i5) \\ C(i11) - C(i5) \\ C(i11) - N(i3) \\ C(i11) - N(i3) \\ C(i13) - N(i4) \\ C(i6) - C(i7) \end{array}$	2-181 (4) 2-102 (5) 2-271 (5) 2-130 (8) 2-132 (7) 1-281 (8) 1-242 (7) 1-510 (9) 1-453 (7) 1-561 (9) 1-245 (8) 1-318 (8) 1-393 (8) 1-394 (7) 1-359 (8) 1-381 (8) 1-357 (8) 1-381 (8) 1-357 (8) 1-364 (8) 1-304 (8) 1-476 (14)	2-238 (4) 2-132 (4) 2-266 (5) 2-130 (7) 2-128 (7) 1-267 (7) 1-245 (7) 1-245 (7) 1-538 (8) 1-467 (7) 1-515 (8) 1-467 (8) 1-315 (7) 1-515 (8) 1-467 (8) 1-381 (9) 1-382 (9) 1-365 (10) 1-361 (9) 1-361 (9) 1-362 (11) 1-472 (13)			
C(18)-C(29) = C(1)-O(1) $N(1)-Sn(1)-O(1)$ $N(2)-Sn(1)-O(1)$ $N(2)-Sn(1)-O(1)$ $N(2)-Sn(1)-N(1)$ $C(2)-Sn(1)-N(1)$	1-527 (10) 1-311 (13) 75-6 (2) 151-9 (2) 76-4 (2)	1-520 (10) (Methanol) 74-4 (2) 150-0 (2) 76-2 (2)			
C(i8) - Sn(i) - O(i1) $C(i8) - Sn(i) - N(i2)$ $C(i8) - Sn(i) - N(i2)$ $C(i6) - Sn(i) - O(i1)$ $C(i6) - Sn(i) - N(i2)$	92-3 (2) 112-9 (2) 96-3 (3) 94-7 (2) 118-0 (3) 100-5 (2)	87:1 (2) 105:3 (2) 95:1 (2) 88:8 (2) 103:6 (2) 103:8 (2)			
$\begin{array}{c} C(i6) - Sn(i) - C(i8) \\ C(i1) - O(i1) - Sn(i) \\ C(i2) - N(i1) - Sn(i) \\ C(i3) - N(i1) - Sn(i) \\ C(i4) - N(i2) - Sn(i) \\ C(i4) - N(i2) - Sn(i) \\ C(i4) - N(i4) - Sn(i4) \\ C(i4) - N(i4) \\ C($	128.7 (3) 117.4 (4) 118.6 (4) 120.3 (4) 110.7 (4) 110.2 (6)	148-5 (3) 118-7 (4) 119-1 (3) 120-1 (4) 111-5 (3)			
C(T) - C(i6) - Sn(i) O(i2) - C(i) - O(i1) C(i2) - C(i) - O(i1) C(i2) - C(i) - O(i2) C(i1) - O(i2) - N(i1) C(i2) - C(i2) - N(i1) C(i2) - C(i2) - N(i1) C(i2) - C(i2) - N(i1) C(i3) - C(i3	115-1 (6) 121-7 (6) 118-8 (5) 119-5 (6) 109-6 (5)	114-1 (6) 124-3 (6) 117-9 (5) 117-8 (5) 109-9 (4)			
$C(3) \longrightarrow C(2) \longrightarrow C(1)$ $C(5) \longrightarrow C(2) \longrightarrow C(1)$ $N(1) \longrightarrow C(3) \longrightarrow O(3)$ $C(4) \longrightarrow C(3) \longrightarrow O(3)$ $C(4) \longrightarrow C(3) \longrightarrow O(1)$ $C(3) \longrightarrow C(4) \longrightarrow V(2)$	113.6 (5) 110.5 (5) 125.1 (5) 115.5 (5) 117.5 (5) 113.9 (5)	113-9 (5) 110-1 (5) 126-9 (5) 117-0 (5) 115-9 (5) 114-3 (5)			
$\begin{array}{c} C(3) - N(i1) - C(i2) \\ C(i1) - C(i5) - C(i2) \\ C(i5) - C(i1) - N(i3) \\ N(i4) - C(i13) - N(i3) \\ C(i12) - C(i11) - N(i3) \\ C(i2) - C(i11) - N(i3) \\ C(i2) - C(i11) - N(i3) \\ C(i2) - C(i1) - N(i3) \\ C(i2) - C(i1) - N(i3) \\ C(i3) - C(i3) - C(i3) \\ C(i$	120.0 (5) 113.4 (5) 121.0 (5) 112.0 (6) 104.3 (5)	118-6 (4) 115-6 (5) 133-2 (6) 105-8 (6) 103-7 (6)			
C(i1)-C(i1)-C(i1)-C(i1)C(i1)-C(i1)-N(i4)C(i1)-N(i3)-C(i1)C(i1)-N(i4)-C(i1)Plane through atoms	134-6 (5) 111-3 (5) 107-2 (5) 105-2 (5) Equation of (	1064 (6) 110-9 (6) 113-2 (7) the plane			
Number 1 Sn(1),O(11),C(11),C(12),N(11) C(13),C(14),N(12) 2 Sn(1),O(11),C(11),C(12),N(11) 3 Sn(1),O(11),C(13),C(14),N(12)	$(x \text{ along } \mathbf{a}; y)$ +0.3102x - 0.3 +0.2701x - 0.4 +0.30657x - 0.4	in the plane <b>ab</b> ; $z \operatorname{along} \mathbf{c}$ ) 3881y - 0.8679z = -11.863  Å 3341y - 0.8594z = -11.948  Å 32674x - 0.8794z = -11.948  Å			
<ul> <li>John M. (17), (13), (14), (14)</li> <li>C(111), C(113), N(13), N(14)</li> <li>Dihedral angles: 1,2 3.5 (1); 1,3 1.3 (1); 1,</li> <li>Plane through atoms</li> </ul>	+0.30837x = 0 +0.9434x + 0.1 4 62.6 (2); 2,3 4 Equation of 1	1507349 - 0.8781z = -12.045  A 1854y - 0.2752z = -2.518  Å $5(1); 2,465.7(2); 3,462.4(2)^\circ.$ the plane			
Number           1         Sn(2),O(21),C(21),C(22),N(21)           C(23),C(24),N(22)           2         Sn(2),O(21),C(21),C(22),N(21)           3         Sn(2),O(21),C(21),C(22),N(21)	$(x \text{ along } \mathbf{a}; y)$ + 0.9055x + 0.4 + 0.8744x + 0.4	In the plane <b>ab</b> ; $z \operatorname{along} \mathbf{c^*}$ ) 193y - 0.0658z = -2.013  Å 1839y - 0.0356z = -1.585  Å			
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					

148.5 (3)° both of which demonstrate the opening of the coordination sphere around tin for accommodating a sixth ligand. The corresponding equatorial C—Sn—C angle in molecule (1) is  $128.7 (3)^{\circ}$  approaching the ideal value in a trigonal bipyramid. From short N···O distances the presence of H bonds is inferred. They are in some cases markedly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3·11 Å; Kitaigorodskii, 1979). Thus, intermolecular distances N(12)···O(13) of 2·768 Å and intramolecular distances N(22)···O(22) of 3·029 Å, and N(12)···O(12) of 2·998 Å are observed. It is noteworthy that the MeOH molecule is independent and is not involved in the hydrogen-bonding system.

Financial assistance from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and a gift of chemicals from Degussa, Frankfurt, are gratefully acknowledged.

## References

ALCOCK, N. W. & SAWYER, J. F. (1977). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1090-1095.

BONDI, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.

- HUBER, F. & BARBIERI, R. (1986). Tin as a Vital Nutrient: Implications in Cancer Prophylaxis and Other Physiological Processes, edited by N. F. CARDARELLI, pp. 175–187. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- HUBER, F., HAUPT, H. J., PREUT, H., BARBIERI, R. & LO GIUDICE, M. T. (1977). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 432, 51-57.
- HUBER, F., MUNDUS, B., BARBIERI, R. & RUISI, G. (1986). Atti Convegno Naz. Mössbauer, Alghero, pp. 67-74.
- HUBER, F., VORNEFELD, M., PREUT, H. & VON ANGERER, E. (1990). Appl. Organomet. Chem. In the press.
- KITAIGORODSKII, A. I. (1979). Molekülkristalle, pp. 17-19. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.
- NARDELLI, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- PREUT, H., MUNDUS, B., HUBER, F. & BARBIERI, R. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 536-538.
- PREUT, H., MUNDUS, B., HUBER, F. & BARBIERI, R. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 728-730.
- ROGERS, D. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, 734-741.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. A program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1987). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 3.4 for Nicolet R3m/V crystallographic system. Nicolet Instrument Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- VORNEFELD, M., HUBER, F., PREUT, H. & BARBIERI, R. (1990). In preparation.
- WILLIAMS (1984). PCK83. Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange. No. 481. Univ. of Indiana, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1991). C47, 267–270

## Structures of the Mixed-Metal Carboxylate Base Adducts [MgZn<sub>2</sub>(crotonate)<sub>6</sub>-(4-vinylpyridine)<sub>2</sub>] and [MgCo<sub>2</sub>(crotonate)<sub>6</sub>(4-vinylpyridine)<sub>4</sub>]

BY WILLIAM CLEGG, DALE R. HARBRON AND BRIAN P. STRAUGHAN

Department of Chemistry, The University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, England

(Received 21 May 1990; accepted 6 July 1990)

Abstract. (1)  $[MgZn_2(C_4H_5O_2)_6(C_7H_7N)_2], M_r =$ 875.8, monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 10.251 (1), b =14.315 (2), c = 14.382 (2) Å,  $\beta = 106.52$  (1)°, V =2023 4 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_x = 1.437 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) =  $0.71073 \text{ Å}, \mu = 12.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}, F(000) = 908, T = 295 \text{ K},$ R = 0.063 for 2829 unique observed reflections. (2)  $[MgCo_2(C_4H_5O_2)_6(C_7H_7N)_4], M_r = 1073.2, mono$ clinic, C2/c, a = 24.623 (2), b = 11.855 (1), c = $18.855 (1) \text{ Å}, \beta = 104.640 (6)^{\circ}, V = 5325.2 \text{ Å}^3, Z = 4,$  $D_x = 1.338 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, \ \mu(\text{Mo} \ K\alpha) = 6.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \ F(000) =$ 2240, T = 295 K, R = 0.030 for 3709 unique observed reflections. The molecules contain an exactly (1) or approximately (2) linear array of three metal atoms linked by six crotonate bridges. Four of the bridges are of the syn-syn type, coordinating to one metal atom through each of their two O atoms. The other two crotonates are simultaneously chelating to one metal (Zn or Co) and bridging through

one O atom also to Mg. In (1), Zn is five-coordinate, and in (2), Co is six-coordinate, with one or two 4-vinylpyridine terminal ligands respectively. The Mg atom is octahedrally coordinated in both structures.

Introduction. The first crystal structure of a trinuclear metal carboxylate complex of formula  $[MM'_2(O_2CR)_6L_2]$  was reported by Catterick, Hursthouse, New & Thornton (1974): in this case, all three metal atoms were cobalt. Several other compounds of this kind have been studied since then, with M' = Zn and a variety of different central metals M = Zn, Mn, Co, Ni, Cd, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba; L is a heterocyclic nitrogen base, and the carboxylates include benzoate, crotonate and pivalate (Clegg, Little & Straughan, 1985, 1986, 1988; Clegg, Hunt, Straughan & Mendiola, 1989; Catterick & Thornton, 1976). In each case, the three metal atoms are arranged in a linear

0108-2701/91/020267-04\$03.00

© 1991 International Union of Crystallography